

E160 – Lecture 13 Autonomous Robot Navigation

Instructor: Chris Clark Semester: Spring 2016

Figures courtesy of Probabilistic Robotics (Thrun et. Al.)



Control Structures Planning Based Control





Introduction to Motion Planning

- 1. MP Overview
- 2. The Configuration Space
- 3. General Approach to MP
- 4. Metrics
- 5. PRMs
- 6. Single Query PRMs





Assembly Planning, Latombe



Tomb Raider 3 (Eidos Interactive)



Cross-Firing of a Tumor, Latombe



Deformable Objects, Kavraki



- Goal of robot motion planning:
 - To construct a collision-free path from some initial configuration to some goal configuration for a robot within a workspace containing obstacles.



Example:





- Inputs
 - Geometry of robots and obstacles
 - Kinematics/Dynamics of robots
 - Start and Goal configurations
- Outputs
 - Continuous sequence of configurations connecting the start and goal configurations



Extensions

- Moving obstacles
- Multiple robots
- Movable objects
- Assembly planning
- Goal is to acquire information by sensing
- Nonholonomic constraints
- Dynamic constraints
- Stability constraints

- Uncertainty in model, control and sensing
- Exploiting task mechanics (under-actuated systems)
- Physical models and deformable objects
- Integration with higherlevel planning



Introduction to Motion Planning

- 1. MP Overview
- 2. The Configuration Space
- 3. General Approach to MP
- 4. Metrics
- 5. PRMs
- 6. Single Query PRMs



 To facilitate motion planning, the configuration space was defined as a tool that can be used with planning algorithms.

(Latombe 1991)



- A configuration q will completely define the state of a robot (e.g. mobile robot x, y, θ)
- The configuration space C, is the space of all possible configurations of the robot.
- The free space $F \subseteq C$, is the portion of the free space which is collision-free.



• The goal of motion planning then, is to find a path in *F* that connects the initial configuration q_{start} to the goal configuration q_{goal}



Example 1: 2DOF manipulator:









Example 3: Mobile Robot with moving obstacle



Workspace



Configuration Space



Introduction to Motion Planning

- 1. MP Overview
- 2. The Configuration Space
- 3. General Approach to MP
- 4. Metrics
- 5. PRMs
- 6. Single Query PRMs



General Approach to MP

- Motion planning is usually done with three steps:
 - 1. Define *C*
 - 2. Discretize C
 - 3. Search *C*



1. Define C

- Each planning problem may have a different definition of C.
 - Example 1: Include 3DOF for a mobile robot in static environment - (x, y, θ).
 - Example 2: Include only 2DOF for a mobile robot in static environment - (x,y).
 - Example 3: Include 5DOF for a mobile robot in dynamic environment - (x,y,θ,v,t).



1. Define C

- Plan paths for a point robot
 - Instead of using a robot of fixed dimensions/size, "grow" the obstacles to reflect how close the robot can get.





Typical Discretizations:

- 1. Cell decomposition
- 2. Roadmap
- 3. Potential field



- Cell decomposition
 - Decompose the free space into simple cells and represent the connectivity of the free space by the adjacency graph of these cells





- Roadmap
 - Represent the connectivity of the free space by a network of 1-D curves





Potential field

 Define a function over the free space that has a global minimum at the goal configuration and follow its steepest descent





2. Search C

- Given a discretization of C, a search can be carried out using a Graph Search or gradient descent, etc.
 - Example: Find a path from D to G





Introduction to Motion Planning

- 1. MP Overview
- 2. The Configuration Space
- 3. General Approach to MP
- 4. Metrics



- Metrics for which to compare planning algorithms:
 - 1. Speed or Complexity
 - 2. Completeness
 - 3. Optimality
 - 4. Feasibility of solutions



1. Speed or Complexity

- Often, planners are compared based on the running time of an algorithm.
 - Must specify the hardware when reporting, (e.g. processor type, ...)
- Example:
 - Planner A outperformed Planner B in that it took half the time to solve the same planning problem.



1. Speed or Complexity

- Planners are also compared based on the algorithm's run time complexity
 - i.e. the number of steps or operations an algorithm must take as a function of the size of the input.



1. Speed or Complexity

 Example: For *M* particles and *N* sensors, calculate the weights assuming expected measurements are known

```
for (int i=0; i<M; i++) {
    w(i) = 0.0001;
    for (int j=0; j<N; j++) {
        w(i) *= gauss(z-z_exp(i,j));
    }
}</pre>
```

In this example there are on the order of MxN operations, i.e O(MN)



2. Completeness

- A complete algorithm is one that is guaranteed to find a solution if one exists, or determine if no solution exists.
- Time Consuming!
 - An exhaustive search will search every possible path to see if it is a feasible solution.
 - A complete planner usually requires exponential time in the number of degrees of freedom, objects, etc.



2. Completeness

 A resolution complete planner discretizes the space and returns a path whenever one exists in the discretized representation.





2. Completeness

- A probabilistically complete planner returns a path with high probability if a path exists. It may not terminate if no path exists.
 - E.g. $P(failure) \rightarrow 0$ as $time \rightarrow \infty$
- Weaker form of completeness, but usually faster.



3. Optimality

 Resolution of Discretization can lead to sub-optimal solutions





3. Optimality

 Some algorithms will only guarantee sub-optimal solutions (e.g. Greedy Search).





4. Feasibility of Solutions

- Not all planners take into account the exact model of the robot or environment.
- E.g. Non-differential drive robot





We are left with...

Theoretical algorithms

- Strive for completeness and minimal worst-case complexity
- Difficult to implement

Heuristic algorithms

- Strive for efficiency in common situations
- Use simplifying assumptions
- Weaker completeness
- Exponential algorithms that work in practice


Motion Planning: Searching the Configuration Space

Example: Multi Robot MP





Introduction to Motion Planning

- 1. MP Overview
- 2. The Configuration Space
- 3. General Approach to MP
- 4. Metrics
- 5. PRMs
- 6. Single Query PRMs



- Definition:
 - A probabilistic road map is a discrete representation of a continuous configuration space generated by randomly sampling the free configurations of the *C*-space and connecting those points into a graph.



- Goal of PRMs:
 - Quickly generate a small roadmap of the Free Space F that has good coverage and connectivity



- PRMS have proven to useful in mapping free spaces that are difficult to model, or have many degrees of freedom.
 - This can facilitate fast planning for these situations
- Trade-off
 - PRMs often sacrifice completeness for speed





Moving Objects, Kindel



- Two Main Strategies:
 - 1. Multi-Query:
 - Generate a single roadmap of F which can be used many times.
 - 2. Single-Query:
 - Use a new roadmap to characterize the subspace of F which is relevant to the search problem.



Introduction to Motion Planning

- 1. MP Overview
- 2. The Configuration Space
- 3. General Approach to MP
- 4. Metrics
- 5. PRMs
- 6. Single Query PRMs



Motion Planning: Probabilistic Road Maps

- Single-Query PRMs (a.k.a. Rapidly Exploring Random Trees - RRTs)
 - Try to only sample a subspace of F that is relevant to the problem.
 - Probabilistically complete assuming *C* is *expansive* [Hsu et. al. 2000].
 - Very fast for many applications (allow for on-the-fly planning).



Motion Planning: Probabilistic Road Maps

- Two approaches:
 - 1. Single Directional:
 - Grow a milestone tree from start configuration until the tree reaches the goal configuration
 - 2. Bi-Directional:
 - Grow two trees, one from the start configuration and one from the goal configuration, until the two trees meet.
 - Can't consider time in the configuration space



Single Query PRMs: Outline

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Algorithm Overview
- 3. Sampling strategies



MP Overview

Example:





















Example: Construct Path





Example: Construct Path





Probabilistic Road Maps: Learning Phase

Nomenclature

R=(N, E)	RoadMap
Ν	Set of Nodes
Ε	Set of edges
С	Configuration
e	edge



Motion Planning: Probabilistic Road Maps

- Algorithm
 - 1. Add start configuration c_{start} to $R(\mathbf{N}, \mathbf{E})$
 - 2. Loop
 - 3. Randomly Select New Node *c* to expand
 - 4. Randomly Generate new Node *c* ' from *c*
 - 5. If edge e from c to c' is collision-free
 - 6. Add (c', e) to R
 - 7. If c' belongs to endgame region, return path
 - 8. Return if stopping criteria is met



Single Query PRMs: Outline

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Algorithm Overview
- 3. Sampling strategies
 - Node Selection (step 3)
 - Node Generation (step 4)
 - Endgame Region (step 7)



Motion Planning: PRM Node Selection

- One could pick the next node for expansion by picking from all nodes in the roadmap with equal probability.
 - This is easy to implement, but leads to poor expansion → Clustering



Motion Planning: PRM Node Selection

- Cont'
 - Method is to weight the random selection of nodes to expand, this can greatly affect the roadmap coverage of the configuration space.
 - Want to pick nodes with probability proportional to the inverse of node density.



Motion Planning: PRM Node Selection

- Example:
 - Presented is a 2DOF configuration space where the initial node in the roadmap is located in the upper right corner.
 - After *X* iterations, the roadmap produced from an unweighted expansion has limited coverage.



Unweiahted



Weiahted



Motion Planning: PRM Node Selection Technique 1

- The workspace was divided up into cells to form a grid [Kindel 2000].
 - Algorithm:
 - 1. Randomly pick an occupied cell from the grid.
 - 2. Randomly pick a milestone in that cell.





Motion Planning: PRM Node Selection Technique 2

- Commonly used in Rapidly exploring Random Trees (RRTs) [Lavalle]
 - Algorithm:
 - 1. Randomly pick configuration c_{rand} from *C*.
 - 2. Find node *c* from *R* that is closest to node c_{rand}
 - 3. Expand from c in the direction of c_{rand}





Single Query PRMs: Outline

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Algorithm Overview
- 3. Sampling strategies
 - Node Selection (step 3)
 - Node Generation (step 4)
 - Endgame Region (step 7)



Motion Planning: PRM Milestone Generation

- Use random control inputs to propagate robot from previous node c to new configuration c'
 - Algorithm:
 - 1. Randomly select controls u and Δt
 - 2. Use known dynamics/kinematics equation *f* of robot to generate new configuration

$$c' = f(c, u, \Delta t)$$

3. If path from *c* to *c*' is collision-free, then add *c*' to *R*



Motion Planning: PRM Milestone Generation

- **Example: Differential drive robot**
 - 1. Randomly select controls $\dot{\phi}_{left}$, $\dot{\phi}_{right}$ and Δt
 - 2. Propagate:
 - 1. Get Δs_{left} and Δs_{right}
 - 2. Calculate new state *c*' with:

Iculate new state c' with:

$$c' = f(x, y, \theta, \Delta s_r, \Delta s_l) = \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ \theta \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\Delta s_r + \Delta s_l}{2} \cos\left(\theta + \frac{\Delta s_r - \Delta s_l}{2b}\right) \\ \frac{\Delta s_r + \Delta s_l}{2} \sin\left(\theta + \frac{\Delta s_r - \Delta s_l}{2b}\right) \\ \frac{\Delta s_r - \Delta s_l}{b} \end{bmatrix}$$

3. Use iterative search to check for collisions on path.



Motion Planning: PRM Milestone Generation

- Example: Differential drive robot (cont')
 - Iterative Collision checking is simple but not always efficient:
 - Algorithm:
 - 1. Calculate distance *d* to nearest obstacle
 - 2. Propagate forward distance d along path from c to c'
 - 3. If *d* is too small, return **collision**
 - 4. If c reaches or surpasses c', return **collision-free**





Single Query PRMs: Outline

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Algorithm Overview
- 3. Sampling strategies
 - Node Selection (step 3)
 - Node Generation (step 4)
 - Endgame Region (step 7)



- We define the endgame region *E*, to be the set of configurations that have a simple connection to the goal configuration.
 - For each planning problem, we can define a unique method of making simple connections.
 - This method will inherently define *E*.





- Given the complexity of most configuration spaces, it is very difficult to model *E*.
 - In practice, we develop a simple admissibility test to calculate if a configuration c' belongs to the E
 - At every iteration of the algorithm, this test is used to determine if newly generated configurations are connected to the goal configuration.



- In defining *E*, we need two things for good performance:
 - 1. The region *E* should be **large**: this increases the chance that a newly generated milestone will belong to *E* and provide us a solution.
 - 2. The admissibility test to be as **fast** as possible. This test is conducted at every iteration of the algorithm and will greatly affect the algorithm running time.



- Several endgame definitions exist:
 - 1. The set of all configurations within some radius *r* of the goal configuration



- Several endgame definitions exist:
 - 1. The set of all configurations within some radius *r* of the goal configuration
 - 2. The set of all configurations that have "simple", collision-free connection with the goal configuration.
 - Example: Use circular arc for differential drive robots.











-

-











 \oplus

